

From: Norm Ihle [mailto:nihle@cts1.com]
Sent: Friday, September 04, 2009 11:28 AM
To: 'Norm Ihle'; 'rbrown@co.lake.ca.us'; 'Anthony Farrington';
'jcomstock@co.lake.ca.us'; 'deniser@co.lake.ca.us'; 'jeff_s@co.lake.ca.us'
Cc: 'emilym@co.lake.ca.us'
Subject: Cristallago more Items of Concern
Importance: High

Hello Again,

Listed below are some more items of concern with this project:

- 1) We all know the Golf course is a key element to this project. It will result in the removal of 95 acres of Oak Trees.
Why haven't we asked them to redesign the Golf course in a manner that will save these Oak trees? Throughout this entire project review I see no request from anyone to have them look at redesigning the course to save more Oak trees. This makes more sense to me. Will you request that this be done?
Water for the golf course should only be taken from the 5 wells on site ...not Clearlake. They can do this pretty easily. When they have enough waste water to take care of the Golf Course, they can stop using the wells.
- 2) This is a 20 year project. The work hours of 7:00am to 8:00PM Monday through Friday are unacceptable. Weekend work is also unacceptable. Work hours should be 8:00am to 5:00pm Monday through Friday. No work on weekends it is patently unfair to disturb all the neighbors on weekends and at dinner time for 20 years. Please change the work hours. There is no rush to get this project done.
- 3) The noise factors have not been adequately addressed. The FEIR clearly states that there will higher DB levels throughout this project. 20 years of constant noise is not what this County setting should be about. What can they do to drop the DB levels to acceptable standards?
- 4) I see no where in the Traffic study where it addresses the increase of traffic along Lakeshore blvd where traffic will definitely increase. A stop sign on Lakeshore Blvd where Parkway intersects Lakeshore Blvd may be in order.
The traffic study does not address the increase of traffic at commute times in the morning or evening. I believe the Parkway/Hill Road intersection will be over whelmed with vehicles. This will be a problem for all.
Why can they not add other entrances?
- 5) The drainage and holding pond issues have not been adequately addressed.

The holding ponds and Lake should be monitored and tested weekly for contaminants to insure they do not become toxic to wildlife or people. If they do become contaminated, the project should be halted until these issues are resolved. It should be clearly stated in the FEIR that the developer will be fined at \$ 1000.00 per day until these issue are resolved.

The Lyons Creek and Scott creek watershed runoff needs to be tested during the raining season to insure no pesticides, fertilizers or asbestos runs into these creeks and eventually into the Lake. This can be done by monitoring all runoff farther down the creeks. If unacceptable levels are detected, the project should be halted until they resolve the issue. They should also be fined. The wells on site will also need to be tested. All reports should be made available to the public on the County website.

6) The asbestos continues to be a very serious problem. All new site pads for the homes should be built on clean fill with no asbestos in the soil to a depth of 4ft to 8ft. What will happened with the water that in contaminated when cleaning off the construction equipment? Will there be a qualified inspector on site every day to insure the contractor is adhering to all the requirements? Will all vehicles leaving the job site be cleaned properly and who will insure this is done. ? Will someone be onsite?

Water should be used on 100% of the area...not 80%. Will all earth movers and other construction equipment, even pick-up trucks, be cleaned daily? An inspector should be on site daily and sign off that each vehicle leaving the site has been inspected and cleaned of all asbestos. Any vehicles that do not have documentation in hand that they have been inspected should be allowed to return to the site.

7) Lighting issues are not adequately addressed addressed. This site has the potential to light up the Sky like a Christmas tree. How can they insure that they will not ruin everyone's view of the night sky? Just having street lights at the intersections is not acceptable. New sources of lighting need to be addressed.

I would also like to add that at the beginning of the meeting all Board of Supervisors and Planning Commission members state for the record that they have received no campaign contributions from any person or persons involved with trying to get approval for this project. If they have received contributions, they should not be allowed to vote on this development. Failure to disclose this information could end up in a lawsuit. Check with your City Attorney on this subject.

Once again, thank you all for your time.

Norm Ihle
3190 Lakeshore Blvd.
Lakeport, Ca.